# Reduced chemical kinetics for combustion and ignition

Holger Niemann Bosch Engineering GmbH

### Overview

- introduction
- ILDM
- problems and solutions
  - numerical calculation
  - manifolds of stationary states higher order
  - reduced ignition chemistry
  - implementations of reduced chemistry
- summary

# Simulation of combustion processes

combustion chemistry

complete description by elementary reactions

--> detailled mechanisms

flow field

conservation equations for every chemical species

--> small mechanisms

reduced mechanisms

accuracy of detailled mechanisms

small number of reaction progress variables

# Reduction of detailled reaction mechanisms

#### conventional methods

assumption of

\* partial equilibrium for reactions\* stationary state of species

disadvantage: physical motivation of assumptions restrict the reduced mechanism to specified conditions (e.g. high temperatures, lean

conditions)

#### ILDMmethod

- reduction to the rate limiting slow part of the mechanism
- mathematical/numerical method
- only input: number of reaction progress variables

#### Homogeneous reactor in state space



fast reaction to Intrinsic Low-Dimensional Manifolds (ILDM) in state space Idea: use ILDM as a basis for a mechanism reduction method (Maas, Pope 1992) ---> identification of rate limiting part of reaction mechanism

### Tabulation of ILDM



- calculation of ILDM is computationally expensive
- solution: tabulation and use of fast table look-up instead of slow calculation

#### Application: homogeneous reaction



- initial conditions: partially burnt stoichiometric mixture dodecane-air (temperature of unburnt mixture 300 K, 1 bar)
- lines: detailed mechanism; symbols: ILDM
- 2 reaction progress variables CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O
- very good comparison both for main species CO<sub>2</sub> and CO as for the radicals O and CH
- ---> mechanism reduction with ILDM-method is highly accurate

#### **Application: flame 2d-ILDM**



- laminar premixed flame methane-air (stoichiometric, 300 K, 1 bar)
- lines: detailed mechanism; symbols: ILDM
- 2 reaction progress variables CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O
- good comparison for main species CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub>
- deviations with O-atoms

---> influence of diffusion on the relaxation of fast chemical time scales

---> improvement by additional reaction progress variable O<sub>2</sub>

#### Application: flame 3d-ILDM



- laminar premixed flame methane-air (stoichiometric, 300 K, 1 bar)
- lines: detailed mechanism; symbols: ILDM
- 3 reaction progress variables CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub>
- very good comparison for main species  $CO_2$ ,  $H_2O$  and  $O_2$
- small error for O-atoms

---> 3 reaction progress variables are sufficient for laminar premixed flames



- laminar premixed flame heptane-air (800 K, 80 bar)
- lines: detailed mechanism; detailed transport
- white symbols: ILDM; Le=1
- filled symbols: measurements

#### **Application: Non-premixed flame**



- laminar non-premixed flame heptane-air (stoichiometric, 300 K, 1 bar)
- 2 reaction progress variables CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O (temperature)
- very good comparison for main species CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O and O<sub>2</sub>
- good comparison for minor species

### Application: turbulent jet-flame



- non-premixed flame methane-air
- turulence-chemistry interaction: PDF, Monte-Carlo-method
- 2 reaction progress variables CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, temperature

### **Application: Diesel engine**



- Turbulencechemistryinteraction: Presumed PDFs
- 3-dimensional ILDM table (temperature, CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O)

# Is ILDM the perfect method for mechanism reduction?

- All slides look fine!
- All relevant applications have been done!

• Where is the problem?

## There still exist major problems!

- manifold
  - numerical calculation often fails
  - bug in theory? Strange results in homogeneous reactions
  - existence only in the burnt region: Ignition chemistry is not available
- tabulation
  - needs too much space
- implementation
  - needs experts

#### Definition of the manifold

- Chemistry:  $\dot{x} = S(x)$
- Local linearization

$$J = \frac{\partial S(x)}{\partial x}$$

• Eigenvalue decomposition

$$J = E\Lambda \widetilde{E} = \begin{pmatrix} E_s E_f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_s & \\ & \Lambda_f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{E}_s \\ \widetilde{E}_f \end{pmatrix}$$

- Order in fast and slow
- Definition of the manifold:  $\tilde{E}_f(x)S(x) = 0$

### Calculation of the manifold I

- Solution of algebraic equation system is difficult
  - nonlinearity and
  - stiffness of reaction mechanism
- Reason for stiffness:
  - thermodynamics
  - higher alcanes are extremly unstable at equilibrium temperatures
- Stiffness is increasing with growing numbers of C-atoms in fuels

### Calculation of the manifold II

• Conversion of

- algebraic equation system

$$\widetilde{E}_f(x)S(x) = 0$$
$$P^T x = p$$

- into an ODE system

$$\dot{x} = S(x) + \widetilde{E}_{s}(x)q$$
$$\dot{q} = f(x, p)$$

• Solution with standard stiff-stable ODE-solvers

## There still exist major problems!

- manifold
  - numerical calculation often fails
  - bug in theory? Strange results in homogeneous reactions
  - existence only in the burnt region: Ignition chemistry is not available
- tabulation
  - needs too much space
- implementation
  - needs experts

### Bug in theory?

- Trajectories starting on the ILDM are leaving and deviating from the ILDM!
- Error of 40 K
- ILDM: black
- Trajectory: grey



#### Why there is a deviation?

- Small spectral gap
- definition of ILDM: Local non-linearity of reaction is neglected



# Investigation on a theoretical mechanism



# Series of manifolds of stationary states higher order

- ILDM is not a good approximation of the trajectory 0.5
- Series is converging to the trajectory



#### Proof: Series of manifolds of stationary states higher order is attractor for trajectories



## There still exist major problems!

- manifold
  - numerical calculation often fails
  - bug in theory? Strange results in homogeneous reactions
  - existence only in the burnt region: Ignition chemistry is not available
- tabulation
  - needs too much space
- implementation
  - needs experts

### Reduced ignition chemistry

- Idea of ILDM: All chemical scales are in equilibrium
  - except the very slow ones
- Ignition: All chemical scales are frozen
  - except the very fast ones
- ILDM can not be used in ignition prediction!

# Is a reduced ignition chemistry possible?

- Problem of dimensionality with ignition:
  - Equilibration of scales leads to a dimension reduction → no influence of initial conditions!
  - Ignition occurs with the dimension of the chemical state space (size of mechanism) →influence of initial conditions!
- No rigorous model with generally applicable reaction progress variables can be found for ignition

### Reduced ignition chemistry

- But: In practical applications (e.g. CI engine) dimensionality of initial conditions is much smaller:
  - unreacted fuel-air mixture
  - variable temperature
  - variable pressure
- Idea: Use one reaction progress variable from trajectories
- Result: Table of reduced ignition chemistry with a few variables (mixture, temperature, pressure, one reaction progress variable)

# Applied Reduced ignition chemistry in a CI engine



- Reduced ignition model + 3-dimensional ILDM (temp., CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O)
- Turbulencechemistryinteraction: Presumed PDFs



## There still exist major problems!

- manifold
  - numerical calculation often fails
  - bug in theory? Strange results in homogeneous reactions
  - existence only in the burnt region: Ignition chemistry is not available
- tabulation
  - needs too much space
- implementation
  - needs experts

### Tabulation

Dimensionality of reduced chemistry in a CI engine

- Temperature/enthalpy
- Pressure
- Mixture
  - Mixture fraction
  - More rigorous: 3 element mole fractions
- Reaction progress variables
  - 1: seems to work not too badly
  - More are better!

Result:

- 4 axis are the minimum
- In-situ tabulation is the only way to handle that big tables

# Application of in-situ tabulation in a CI engine



## There still exist major problems!

- manifold
  - numerical calculation often fails
  - bug in theory? Strange results in homogeneous reactions
  - existence only in the burnt region: Ignition chemistry is not available
- tabulation
  - needs too much space
- implementation
  - needs experts

#### CFD-code

- How to implement tabulated manifold chemistry?
- Problems:
  - Reaction progress variables (RPV) are very different from species
  - Different RPV for ignition and combustion
  - Variable number of RPV → variable number of conservation equations



Conventional implementation of ILDM

- Both RPV and species required
- Small reduction in space and computation time
- Diffent #RPV is a hard problem



# Idea of sceleton mechanism implementation

- Manifold chemistry should be usable like a skeleton mechanism
  - small number of species
  - Low stiffness
  - Details of the manifold (different models for ignition and combustion, variable dimension, ...) should be hidden from the user
  - Physical interface layer

# Idea of sceleton mechanism implementation



neue Implementierung mit Skelett-Spezies

#### Sceleton mechanism implementation

- CFD-code is unchanged except the chemical source term
- Details of the manifold chemistry hidden in the chemical source term



### Are there more problems?

- manifold
  - numerical calculation often fails
  - bug in theory? Strange results in homogeneous reactions
  - existence only in the burnt region: Ignition chemistry is not available
- tabulation
  - needs too much space
- implementation
  - needs experts

# Summary: Reduced chemical kinetics for combustion and ignition

**Combined Reduced Chemistry** 

- Manifold chemistry (ILDM, stationary states higher order) for the combustion/equilibration zone
- Reduced ignition chemistry
- In-situ tabulation
- Sceleton mechanism implementation